

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,722	06/22/2005	David Wallach	WALLACH32	2522
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/511,722	WALLACH ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHERIE M. WOODWARD	1647				
All Participants: Status of Application:						
(1) <u>Cherie Woodward</u> .	(3)					
(2) Roger Browdy, Applicant's representative.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>5 March 2008</u>	Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)					
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 102(e) art over claim 104, subpart c, as drawn to a fragment.						
Claims discussed: 104						
Prior art documents discussed: US Patent 7,070,972 (4 July 2006, benefit to 13 January 1995), S	SEQ ID NO: 7					
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:				
Part III.						
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
/Cherie M. Woodward/ Examiner, Art Unit 1647 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

RESULT 13

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A sequence search was conducted following Applicant's submission of after-final amendments that brought to light potentially new art regarding claim 104, subpart C, related to a fragment. The examiner called Applicant's representative to discuss possible claim amendments or the continuation of prosecution. Applicant's representative stated that he was in the process of traveling outside of the country, but would discuss the issue with Applicant and would contact the examiner upon his return in two weeks. The examiner agreed to further discuss the situation upon the return of Applicant's representative. The search results appear in SCORE.

```
US-08-373-934G-7
Sequence 7, Application US/08373934G
Patent No. 7070972
GENERAL INFORMATION:
APPLICANT: O'Shea, John J.
 APPLICANT: Leonard, Warren J.
 APPLICANT: Johnston, James A.
 APPLICANT: Russell, Sarah M.
 APPLICANT: McVicar, Daniel W.
 APPLICANT: Kawamura, Masaru
 TITLE OF INVENTION: JANUS FAMILY KINASES AND IDENTIFICATION
 TITLE OF INVENTION: OF IMMUNE MODULATORS
 FILE REFERENCE: NIH100.001A
 CURRENT APPLICATION NUMBER: US/08/373.934G
 CURRENT FILING DATE: 1995-01-13
 NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 11
 SOFTWARE: FastSEQ for Windows Version 4.0
 SEQ ID NO 7
 LENGTH: 19
 TYPE: PRT
 ORGANISM: Homo Sapiens
US-08-373-934G-7
Query Match
                  20.1%: Score 96: DB 3: Length 19:
 Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 0.00011;
Matches 19; Conservative 0; Mismatches 0; Indels 0; Gaps 0;
Qv
       1 ERTMPRIPTLKNLEDLVTE 19
Dh
       1 ERTMPRIPTLKNLEDLVTE 19
```